



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/693,514	10/20/2000	Paul Lapstun	NPS024US	7916	
24011	7590 03/14/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SILVERBROOK RESEARCH PTY LTD 393 DARLING STREET			PHAM, THIERRY L		
BALMAIN,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTRALIA			2624		
			DATE MAILED: 03/14/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	-			War			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence		09/693,514	LAPSTUN ET AL.				
Office Action	n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thierry L Pham	2624				
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	E of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	\$ 			
THE MAILING DATE OF - Extensions of time may be availa after SIX (6) MONTHS from the r - If the period for reply specified at - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set or e	above, the maximum statutory period extended period for reply will, by statu- later than three months after the maili	. 136(a). In no event, however, may ply within the statutory minimum of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) M te, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.	ication.			
Status							
1) Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on 18 (October 2004.					
2a)☐ This action is FINA		is action is non-final.					
3) Since this applicati	on is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to the mer	its is			
closed in accordan	ce with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	c.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> is/ard	e pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above cl	aim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/a	are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> is/are	Claim(s) 1-61 is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>57</u> is/are o	objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are	subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is	objected to by the Examin	ier.					
10) The drawing(s) filed	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing	g sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	I21(d).			
11) The oath or declara	tion is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attach	ned Office Action or form PTO-15	52.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19						
a) All b) Some 1. Certified cop 2. Certified cop 3. Copies of the application fr	ies of the priority documer ies of the priority documer	nts have been received. Its have been received in ority documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	a Application No en received in this National Stag	e			
Attachment(s)	·						
1) Notice of References Cited (P		4) Interview	w Summary (PTO-413)				
	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948) nent(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		lo(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

- This action is responsive to the following communication: an Amendment filed on 10/18/04.
- Amendments to the Specifications for updating the status of the application cited on pages 1-3, 9-11, 15, 17-19 have been received and acknowledged.
- Claims 1-61 are pending in application; Claims 59-61 are newly added.

Claim Objections

Claim 57 is objected to because of the following informalities: "The method of claim 379" should read as "the method of claim 37". Appropriate correction is required.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pages 17-18, filed on 10/18/04, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of newly found prior art reference (U.S. 6330976).

• Regarding claims 1, 19, and 37, the applicants argued the cite prior art (U.S. 6537324) fails to teach and/or suggests "identity data indicative of identity information which differentiates the print area from other of the plurality".

In response, the examiner agrees and acknowledges (US 6537324) does not teach and/or suggest "identity data indicative of identity information which differentiates the print area from other of the plurality". A new ground of rejection is made in view of newly found prior art reference (U.S. 6330976).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2624

Claims 1-8, 10-13, 15-24, 26-43, 45-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dymetman et al (US 6330976), and in view of Tabata et al (US 6537324).

Regarding claim 1, Dymetman discloses a printer (col. 11, lines 55-60) for printing document information onto one or more of a plurality of print areas (pre-printed marking medium contains plurality of encoded data (i.e. page id and location id) with different zones/areas, figs. 3-10, col. 3, lines 56-60, col. 8, lines 45-67, and col. 9, lines 1-15) each of the print areas (each zones/areas contain different coded data, figs. 3-10, col. 3, lines 56-60, col. 8, lines 45-67, and col. 9, lines 1-15) including identity data indicative (i.e. information indicating zones/positions of the areas/zones within the document, figs. 3-10, col. 3, lines 56-60, col. 8, lines 45-67, and col. 9, lines 1-15) of identity information which differentiates the print area from others of the plurality. Dymetman also teaches a portable optical sensor 502 for sensing/detecting the coded data printed on the marking medium, but fails to teach and/or suggest such optical sensor 502 can be incorporated within the printer.

Tabata, in the same field of endeavor for printing code data, teaches a printer including an optical sensor for sensing/detecting the coded data printed on the marking medium (scanner 470a for sensing coded data as shown in fig. 2 and such scanner can be incorporated within the printer, fig. 20, col. 23, lines 10-12 and col. 25, lines 5-10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Dymetman as per teachings of Tabata by incorporating the optical sensor within the printer because of a following reason: (•) an optical sensor can be either portable and/or incorporated within the printer itself; (•) coded marking medium provides a faster and better method for retrieving document data (i.e. in digital copy) using optical sensor device rather than manually by users via keyboard and etc (col. 19, lines 32-42); (•) many other advantages can be found on both references.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Dymetman with Tabata to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

Regarding claim 2, Tabata further discloses the printer of claim 1 wherein the identity data is represented on the print data in a coded form and the printer includes a decoder (two-dimensional bar code decoder, col. 23, lines 26-30) which receives coded data from the at least

Art Unit: 2624

one sensor and outputs decoded data representing at least the identity data or at least the identity information.

Regarding claim 3, Dymetman further discloses the printer of claim 1 wherein each identity information is represented on the print area by at least two discrete items (i.e. page id and location id, col. 9, lines 5-15) of data and the decoder outputs decoded data representing at least the identity information after receiving said at least two separate items of data.

Regarding claims 4-6, Tabata further discloses the printer of claim 1 wherein said at least one sensor is positioned to sense said identity data before/during/after printing of the document information on the respective print area (scanner for sensing coded data at given moment, fig. 20).

Regarding claims 7-8, 10, Tabata further discloses the printer of claim 1 further including a transmitter (network, fig. 20) for transmitting information to a computer system.

Regarding claims 11-12, Tabata further discloses the printer of claim 1 wherein said printer derives (decoder, col. 23, lines 28-31) and transmits (network, fig. 20) identity data or identity information associated with a print area to a computer system (printer server, fig. 20) prior to receiving document data associated with said print area.

Regarding claim 13, Tabata further discloses the printer of claim 1 operable to over-print a print area having existing document (widely known in the art, i.e. text over graphic) information to render the existing document information unreadable.

Regarding claim 15, Tabata further discloses the printer of claim 1 wherein the at least one sensor is selected from an image sensor (scanner, fig. 20) and a magnetic sensor and a chemical sensor.

Art Unit: 2624

Regarding claims 16-17, Tabata further discloses the printer of claim 1 whrein the printer generates at least some of the information printed (medium form, fig. 2).

Regarding claim 18, Tabata further discloses the printer of claim 1 further including a user interface to enable user to input identity information into the printer (control panel incorporated within the printers are widely known in the art).

Regarding claim 19, a combination of Dymetman and Tabata also teaches a system for printing (Tabata, fig. 20), the system including;

- (a) a computer system (print server, fig. 20, Tabata);
- (b) a printer (printer 470, fig. 20, Tabata) for printing document information onto a print area, the print area including identity data indicative of identity information (medium form, fig. 2) which differentiates the print area form other print areas including identity data, the printer including:
- (i) at least one sensor (scanner 470 for sensing coded data, fig. 20, Tabata) the identity data of the print area;
- (ii) a transmitter (network, fig. 20) for transmitting data to the computer system, the data selected from one of the following:
 - (1) the identity information (coded data, fig. 2);
- (2) data representative of the identity information (coded data identify zones/positions within the document, cols. 11-12, Dymetman);
 - (3) the identity data, or
 - (4) data representative of the identity data,

the computer system including:

- (i) a receiver (network, fig. 20, Tabata) for receiving transmitted data, and
- (ii) means for generating association data representative (print server for generating and storing correlated information file, fig. 20, Tabata) of an association between the document information and the identity information;
- (iii) memory (print server, fig. 20, Tabata) for storing the association data.

Page 5

Art Unit: 2624

::::Please see claim 1 for more details and the examiner recommends the applicants to closely consider the documents cited as a whole rather than the individual columns cited by the examiner.

Regarding claims 20-24, 26-36 recite limitations that are similar and in the same scope of invention as to those in claims 2-8, 10-18 above; therefore, claims 20-24, 26-36 are rejected for the same rejection rationale/basis as described in claims 2-8, 10-18.

Regarding claims 37-43, 45-58, which are the method claims corresponding to the apparatus claims 1-8 and 10-18 and are in the same scope of invention. The method claims are inherent and included by the operation of the apparatus claims. Please see claims rejection basis/rationale as described in claims 1-8 and 10-18 above.

Regarding claim 59-61, Dymetman further discloses each print area including identity data indicative of an identity of the respective print area (zones/areas, cols. 11-12).

Claims 9, 25, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dymetman and Tabata as described in claims 1, 19, and/or 37 above, and further in view of Mizutani (U.S. 6078400).

Regarding claims 9, 25, and 44, Tabata does not explicitly disclose a means to detect failure to correctly print document information onto a print area and for generating a void signal on detection of said failure, the transmitter transmitting said void signal to the computer system.

Mizutani, in the same field of endeavor for printing, teaches a means (error detection device, fig. 3a) to detect failure to correctly print document information onto a print area and for generating a void signal (error signal, cols. 3-4) on detection of said failure, the transmitter (network, fig. 1) transmitting said void signal to the computer system.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Tabata and Dymetman as per teachings of Mizutani because of a following reason: (1) to correctly sense/detect the errors occurred while printing and/or within the printers

Art Unit: 2624

and to quickly resolve such errors as per teachings of Mizutani; therefore, provides high output quality prints.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Tabata and Dymetman with Mizutani to obtain the invention as specified in claims 9, 25, and 44.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dymetman and Tabata as described in claims 1, and further in view of Ur (US 6072871).

The combinations of Dymetman and Tabata discloses a marking medium contains both coded data and human readable information (col. 14, lines 39-45, col. 35-39, and col. 19, lines 33-42) but fails to teach and/or suggest the printer includes a print mechanism for printing on at least two of print areas substantially simultaneously.

Ur, in the same field of endeavor for printing, teaches an ink jet printer (printer 17, fig. 1) prints the coded data at the same time as printing the document on the surface defining structure (prints coded data 27 and document texts as shown in fig. 2 at the same time, col. 4, lines 41-47).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Dymetman and Tabata as per teaching of Ur because of a following reason:

• reduce hardware costs and time by printing both coded data and document data simultaneously.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Tabata, Dymetman, and Ur to obtain the invention as specified in claim 14.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

• U.S. 6137590 to Mori, teaches a printer includes a sensor for detecting/sensing coded data (i.e. page id coded data).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thierry L Pham whose telephone number is (703) 305-1897. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 AM - 6:00 PM).

Art Unit: 2624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David K Moore can be reached on (703)308-7452. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thierry L. Pham

T

GABRIEL GARCIAV PRIMARY EXAMINER